UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,927	05/22/2008	Bas Jan Emile Van Rens	260885	2585
	7590 05/12/201 ` & MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDEN	ITIAL PLAŽA, SUITI	GEHMAN, BRYON P		
CHICAGO, IL	TETSON AVENUE 60601-6731		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/572,927	VAN RENS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bryon P. Gehman	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>4 ar</u>	nd 11 March 2010					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/572,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Applicant's election without traverse of Species II, claims 1-7, 10 and 12 in the reply filed on March 4, 2010 is acknowledged.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not provided alone on a separate sheet and it contains legal phraseology in line 4, "means". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3728

5. Claims 1, 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedman (6,257,402). Claims 1-2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugimoto et al. (5,981,048). Claims 1, 4-5, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brooks et al. (5,551,557). Claims 1, 4-6, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stover et al. (5,318,181). Claims 1, 4-5, 10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kremen (5,238,648). Claims 1, 4-5, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Itoh (5,228,567). Claims 1, 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodl (4,963,693). Claims 1, 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edgarton (3,174,620). Each discloses a display device arrangement comprising a display device (20; photosensitive material; 13; 20; at B; 1; 16; 30; respectively) comprising a component degradable upon exposure to an environmental factor, and a container (10; 7; 17; 21; A and C; 18; 10; 10) storing the display device, the container comprising protective means (24; light-shielding packaging material; 21; claimed drypack; various; 3; maintained atmosphere; sealed atmosphere) for reducing exposure of the component to the environmental factor.

As to claim 2, Sugimoto et al. and Kremen each disclose the protective means to comprise a light shielding material (Figure 1; front panel 2 of a light-filtering material, see column 7, line 46 through column 8, line 8).

As to claim 3, Kremen, Kodl and Edgarton each disclose the protective means comprise an inert gas within the container.

Art Unit: 3728

As to claim 4, Hedman, Brooks et al., Stover et al., Kremen and Itoh each disclose the protective means comprise a getter material (an absorbent material of unwanted gases).

As to claims 5, 10 and 14, Brooks et al., Stover et al., Kremen and Itoh each disclose the container arranged to store the display device during an inactive period thereof and an opening (35; sealed by 24; closed by C; openable portion of 18) for exposing the display device during an active period thereof, the opening closed by an airtight seal.

As to claim 6, Stover et al. disclose the display device (20) being flexible and the container (21) arranged to store the display device in a flexed (rolled) state.

As to claim 12, Brooks et al., Stover et al. and Itoh each disclose an electronic device.

- 6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. **Prior Art not relied upon**: Please refer to the additional references listed on the attached PTO-892, which, while not relied upon for the claim rejection, these references are deemed relevant to the claimed invention as a whole.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bryon P. Gehman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3728 Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728